# $\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
AIRS ID#: 7770581 DATE: 7/22/2014 ARRIVE: DEPART:						
FACILITY NAME: FT. LAUDERDALE AIRPORT EXPANSION						
FACILITY LOCATION: 1550 SW 43rd St						
FT LAUDERDALE 33315-3546						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: ALEK ALBACH Email: aalbach@walshgroup.com CONTACT NAME: ALEK ALBACH Email: aalbach@walshgroup.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/23/2013 / 5/23/2018 (effective date) (end date)  PHONE: (813)849- Mobile: (904)449-0 (904)449-0	0635 7570					
Facility Section						
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)						
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	IPLIANCE					
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Pete Kitchen  Brief Notes: Mr. Kitchen is the representative on site.	(check ☑ only one box for each question)					
2. Is the Authorized Representative still ALEK ALBACH?	X YesNo					
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?  3. Is the facility contact still ALEK ALBACH?  If no, who is?:						
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?						

### Emissions Unit Section <u>1 – Impact Crusher</u>

<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorit		
	is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock S (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	Yes Yes	⊠No
	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?		<u></u> No
3.	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?		∐No
4.	Is the EU one of the following?	Yes	□No
	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
_	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes Yes	⊠No
	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No

### 1 -Impact Crusher

ノ.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	⊠ Yes	□No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia	ıl	
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi	ing	
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet	ted	
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
If a	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	W- 41 - EU 4 - 4 - 1 - 100 - 1 4 - 4 - 1 64 - 4/22/2009	□ x <sub>7</sub>	□ Na
14	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	∐ Yes	∐No
	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20	∐ Yes	∐INO
If .	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20  Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,	∐ Yes	
If .	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20	☐ Yes	<ul><li>□No</li></ul>
<i>If</i> 13	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20  Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20  Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?  answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19  Initial Tests:		
If	<ul> <li>answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20</li> <li>Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19</li> <li>Initial Tests: <ul> <li>a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	☐ Yes	
If	<ul> <li>answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20</li> <li>Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19</li> <li>Initial Tests: <ul> <li>a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		
If	<ul> <li>answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20</li> <li>Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19</li> <li>Initial Tests: <ul> <li>a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?</li></ul></li></ul>	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li><li>□No</li></ul>
If	**Answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20  **Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?  **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19  **Initial Tests:*  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No □No
If	<ul> <li>answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20</li> <li>Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19</li> <li>Initial Tests: <ul> <li>a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?</li></ul></li></ul>	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li><li>□No</li></ul>
If (13)	**Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?  **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19  **Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No □No
If (13)	**Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?  **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19  **Initial Tests:*  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No □No
If (13)	**Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?  **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19  **Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
If (13)	**Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?  **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19  **Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No □No
If (13)	**Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?  **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19  **Initial Tests:*  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
If (13)	**Answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20  **Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?  **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19  **Initial Tests:*  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
If (13)	**Answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20  **Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?  **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19  **Initial Tests:*  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□No</li><li>□No</li><li>□No</li><li>□No</li></ul>
If (13)	**Answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20  **Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?  **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19  **Initial Tests:*  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	<ul> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> </ul>	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□No</li><li>□No</li><li>□No</li></ul>
If (13)	**Answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20  **Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?  **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19  **Initial Tests:*  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	<ul> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> </ul>	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□No</li><li>□No</li><li>□No</li><li>□No</li></ul>

### 1 -Impact Crusher

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	⊠No
If yes, the owner operator:			
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);			
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi	ng		
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or			
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,	_		_
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? 🛛 N/A	Ш	Yes	∐ No
	_		
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Ш	Yes	⊠No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		<b>X</b> 7	
instructions?	- Ш	res	⊠No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			
and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the			
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Yes	⊠No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%	Ш	105	
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
or dong in sor dooms inquite now rate.			
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	⊠No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	⊠No
If yes:		Yes	⊠No
		Yes	⊠No
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		Yes	⊠No
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		Yes	⊠No
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,			⊠No
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?			
<ul> <li>If yes:</li> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul>			
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
<ul> <li>If yes:</li> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul>			
<ul> <li>If yes:</li> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul>			
<ul> <li>If yes: <ul> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li> </ul> </li> <li>If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.</li> <li>20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,</li> </ul>		Yes	□No
<ul> <li>If yes:</li> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul>		Yes	
<ul> <li>If yes: <ul> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul></li></ul>		Yes	□No
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?  If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.  20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?  21. Initial Tests:		Yes	□No
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?  If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.  20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?  21. Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		Yes Yes	□No
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	□No  □No
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	□No  □No □ No □No
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	□No  □No

### 1 -Impact Crusher

22.If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of	_		
initial startup of the EU? N/A	Ш	Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}		*7	
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	$\mathbb{H}$	Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Ш	Yes	∐No
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	⊠No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	_		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's			
instructions?		Yes	⊠No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			
and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the			
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	$\Box$	Yes	⊠No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%			
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? 5/25/2014			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	$\bowtie$	Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:			
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?		Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	☐No
	_		
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?	$\sqcup$	Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Ш	Yes	□No
Rate:			
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.		* 7	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Ш	Yes	□No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?	П	Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Ħ	Yes	□No
Rate:	_		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.			_
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
			l

## Emissions Unit Section 2—Screener

		(check ☑	only one
			question)
1. 2. 3.	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO — Nonmetallic Mineral Processir  {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorit is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock. (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}  Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill? ———————————————————————————————————	ty te, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	•
su If 5. 6.	plant are not considered to be screening operations.)    building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}  answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.  Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I? ———————————————————————————————————	<ul><li>☐ Yes</li><li>☐ Yes</li><li>☐ Yes</li><li>☐ Yes</li></ul>	No  No  No  No

### 2 -Screener

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line? ————————————————————————————————————	ed l ng	Yes	⊠No
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		Yes	⊠No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
su	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.			
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?			
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?		Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20			
13	<b>.Does the EU have a particulate matter</b> <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19			
14	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? ———————————————————————————————————		Yes	☐ No
	<ul> <li>{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}</li> <li>b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?</li> <li>c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?</li> <li>d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?</li> </ul>		Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No

### 2 -Screener

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	⊠No
If yes, the owner operator:		
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);		
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi	ng	
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or		
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17 If the EII is an individual analysed stone so him controlled by a back once		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	☐ Yes	⊠ No
were initial rughtive emissions less than of equal to 7% opacity?	☐ 1 CS	⊠ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	⊠No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		
instructions?	- Yes	⊠No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the		⊠ N-
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	∐ Yes	⊠No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	⊠No
If yes:	_	_
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		
the discharge spray nozzles?		
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,		
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	∐No
If the EU was constructed modified or reconstructed on on after 1/22/2009 alin the following		
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
questions and go directly to Question 24.		
<b>20. Does the EU have a particulate matter </b> <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures,		
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? N/A	∐ Yes	∐ No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	∐ Yes	∐No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)? d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes☐ Yes	∐No □No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than of equal to 1/0 opacity?	☐ 1 CS	□140

### 2 -Screener

${f 22.}$ If the EU is a building enclosing an	y other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with em	nissions limits:				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfo					
		🛛 N	/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through wh	hich there is mechanical	ly induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a buildin					
one or more affected EUs.}		,			
b. Was the EU found to be in compli	iance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		☐ Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from				☐ Yes	□No
c. Were initial ragilities emissions fro	on non vent bunding op	chings less than of equal to 770	opacity.		
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control e	missions from the FII?			☐ Yes	⊠No
If yes, does the owner/operator main				1 Cs	ZJ1 (0
a. a device for the continuous measu		oss of the gas stream through the	<b>a</b>		
		al basis in accordance with man			
				☐ Yes	□No
		manufacturer to be accurate with			NO
	_	manufacturer to be accurate with	IIII +230		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pro	essure.}				
and		11 11.01	.1 1 .1		
b. a device for the continuous measu					□ NT.
		ance with manufacturer's instru		∐ Yes	□No
•	_	manufacturer to be accurate with	nin +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}				
24. When was the last VE test conduct			_		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60		U been tested within the past 5	years?	☐ Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar				_	_
		ndar years?		☐ Yes	<u></u> No
ii. has the EU been tested yet w	rithin the current calenda	ar year?		Yes	∟No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the on				Yes Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr	ocess rate that is represe	entative of the normal rate?		☐ Yes	□No
Rate:				_	_
b. Was the VE test conducted accord				Yes Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	pliance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
					110
				☐ Yes	□No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the instance. a. Was the VE test conducted at a present the conducted by the instance are conducted					_
				☐ Yes	No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr Rate:	ocess rate that is represe	entative of the normal rate?		☐ Yes	No
<ul><li>a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr</li><li>Rate:</li><li>b. Was the VE test conducted accord</li></ul>	ocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9?	entative of the normal rate?		Yes Yes	No No
<ul> <li>a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr</li> <li>Rate:</li> <li>b. Was the VE test conducted accord</li> <li>c. The VE test resulted in an opacity</li> </ul>	ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high	entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average.		Yes Yes	□No □No
<ul><li>a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr</li><li>Rate:</li><li>b. Was the VE test conducted accord</li></ul>	ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high	entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average.		☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No
<ul> <li>a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr Rate:</li> <li>b. Was the VE test conducted accorded. The VE test resulted in an opacity</li> </ul>	ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity	entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below)		☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No
<ul> <li>a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr Rate:</li> <li>b. Was the VE test conducted accorded. The VE test resulted in an opacity</li> </ul>	ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity	entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average.		☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No
<ul> <li>a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr Rate:</li> <li>b. Was the VE test conducted accorded. The VE test resulted in an opacity</li> </ul>	ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity	entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below)		☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	No No No
<ul> <li>a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr Rate:</li> <li>b. Was the VE test conducted accorded. The VE test resulted in an opacity</li> </ul>	ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity	entative of the normal rate?  electric six-minute average. limit? (See chart below)  city Limits  Subpart OOO EU	Subpart	Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No
<ul> <li>a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr Rate:</li> <li>b. Was the VE test conducted accorded. The VE test resulted in an opacity</li> </ul>	ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity  VE Opace  EU not subject to 40 CFR 60	entative of the normal rate? entative of the normal rate? lest six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) eity Limits  Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified,	Subpart	Yes Yes Yes Yes OOO E	NoNoNoNo
<ul> <li>a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr Rate:</li> <li>b. Was the VE test conducted accorded. The VE test resulted in an opacity</li> </ul>	ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity  VE Opac  EU not subject to	entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) eity Limits Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior	Subpart constru	Yes Yes Yes Yes OOO E cted, moc	NoNoNoNo
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr Rate:  b. Was the VE test conducted accord c. The VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity  VE Opac  EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO	entative of the normal rate? nest six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) eity Limits  Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008	Subpart	Yes Yes Yes Yes OOO E cted, mod astructed 22/2008	NoNoNoNo
Rate: b. Was the VE test conducted accord c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity  VE Opace  EU not subject to 40 CFR 60	entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) eity Limits Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior	Subpart constru	Yes Yes Yes Yes OOO E cted, moc	NoNoNoNo

### **Facility Section (continued)**

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check <b>v</b> box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by:  a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)?   N/A  If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?	⊠ Yes	□ No
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A  c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A  d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□ No □ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A  e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	⊠ Yes	□ No
particulate matter from stock piles? \square\ N/A	⊠ Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  N/A  b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No
CONTROL OF CENTER AT REPLANTED FORTH INV		
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each q	uestion)
Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:     a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?     b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?     c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	box for each of the control of the c	uestion) NoNoNoNo
<ul><li>a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?</li><li>b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?</li></ul>	box for each of the box fo	⊠No ⊠No

3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:  a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	- ☐ Yes - ☐ Yes	□No □No □No □No □No
CENTED 11 CONDUCTIONS		
GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each o	only one
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air		1-304041)
pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠No
a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?     b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	⊠ Yes	□No
terms and conditions of the air general permit?	⊠ Yes	□No
3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	⊠ Yes	□No
<ul> <li>RELOCATABLE PLANT</li> <li>1. The facility: ☐ is stationary; ☐ is relocatable; or ☐ consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)</li> </ul>	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
<ul> <li>2. For a relocated NMMP plant:</li> <li>a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone,</li> <li>e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?</li> <li>b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Pelegation Notification Form IDER No. 62, 210,000/6</li> </ul>		□No
b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?		□No
3. If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operat permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:  a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?  If YES, what was the purpose?  {Note: crushing recycled asphalt pavement (rap) at an asphalt plant is considered routine and so therefore must be authorized in the facility's air construction or operation permit.}  b) were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at	Yes	□No
the permitted facility?	∑ Yes ☐ Yes	∐No □No

CHANGES  Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical properations comprising the facility; or any other similar minutes. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within	relocation of the facility or any emissions units or nor administrative change at the facility? Yes	•
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownershi  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment? b) Alterations to existing process equipment without repl. c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment the d) A change in ownership?	p:	□No □No □No □No □No
Brenda Johnson	7/22/2014	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection 2015	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

**COMMENTS:** Facility was in operation at the time of inspection. I met with Mr. Pete Kitchen-Foreman, he informed me that the facility has been in Jacksonville for a year and will be here until project is completed. VE was performed while I was on site 7/25/2014 by Trinity Consultants.